

Variations on the deuteron

I.B. Khriplovich¹

Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics
630090 Novosibirsk, Russia,
and Novosibirsk University

*To the memory of Arkady Benediktovich Migdal,
the teacher of my teachers*

Abstract

We consider few problems which are related to the deuteron and have simple analytical solution. Relation is obtained between the deuteron electric quadrupole moment and the np scattering amplitude. The degree of circular polarization of photons is found for the radiative capture of longitudinally polarized thermal neutrons. The anapole, electric dipole and magnetic quadrupole moments of the deuteron are calculated.

¹khriplovich@inp.nsk.su

1 Introduction

Let us recall the classical question by Fermi: “What plays the part of a hydrogen atom in this problem?” As to nuclear physics, certainly, its hydrogen atom is the deuteron.

It is surprising how many nontrivial problems related to the deuteron can be solved by means of sufficiently simple, sometimes truly back-of-the-envelope analytical calculations. The reason is essentially that the deuteron binding energy, $\varepsilon = 2.23$ MeV, is anomalously small on the nuclear scale. As a result of it, the deuteron wave function decreases very slowly beyond the range of nuclear forces. In the exponential asymptotics $e^{-\kappa r}$ at long distances the parameter κ is small: $\kappa = \sqrt{m_p \varepsilon} = 45.7$ MeV (m_p is the proton mass). Correspondingly, the typical length at which the wave function falls down, is large: $\kappa^{-1} = 4.3$ fm, it is much larger than the range of nuclear forces $r_0 \sim 1$ fm. This allows one to use for the deuteron wave function the so-called zero-range (of nuclear forces) approximation where this wave function is approximated by its asymptotic expression

$$\psi_d = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}} \frac{e^{-\kappa r}}{r}. \quad (1)$$

Due to the coefficient $\sqrt{\kappa/2\pi}$, this expression is normalized correctly: $\int d\mathbf{r} \psi_d^2 = 1$.

In the present review some results are considered which were obtained relatively recently basing on the zero-range approximation. Being related to the deuteron, these problems belong nevertheless to somewhat different fields: to the traditional nuclear physics, and to the problem of nonconservation of parity and time-reversal invariance.

It is convenient however to discuss at first briefly few classical problems belonging already to textbooks or simply to physical folklore. The deuteron ground state contains in line with 3S_1 a small admixture of 3D_1 , which can be in many cases neglected. The wave function of the triplet 3S_1 state of the continuous spectrum of the system neutron-proton can be written in the low-energy limit as

$$\psi_{St} = 1 - \frac{\alpha_t}{r}, \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha_t = 5.42$ fm is the triplet scattering length. The analogous expression for the singlet 1S_0 function of the continuous spectrum is

$$\psi_{Ss} = 1 - \frac{\alpha_s}{r}. \quad (3)$$

The singlet scattering length is negative and very large: $\alpha_s = -23.7$ fm. The subscript S at the wave function denotes here and below an S -wave, the subscripts t and s denote triplet and singlet states, respectively. Somewhat more precise expressions for the wave functions of S -states of continuous spectrum, taking into account the relative momentum p of scattering particles (it is small as compared to $1/r_0$, but not as compared to $1/\alpha_{t,s}$), can be conveniently written as [1]

$$\psi_{St, Ss} = \frac{\sin pr}{pr} - \frac{\alpha_{t,s}}{1 + ip\alpha_{t,s}} \frac{e^{ipr}}{r}. \quad (4)$$

The simplest of the mentioned classical results is the relation between the deuteron binding energy and the triplet scattering length. From the orthogonality of the triplet wave functions (1) and (2) which correspond to different energies, one obtains immediately

$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{\kappa}, \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_p \varepsilon}}. \quad (5)$$

It is valid within $\sim 20\%$.

Let us consider now the cross-section of the deuteron photodisintegration $\gamma d \rightarrow np$. It is natural to start with the contribution of $E1$ transition [2, 3]. The dipole matrix element is

$$\langle {}^3P | \mathbf{r} | {}^3S \rangle = -4 \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\kappa}{1 - \kappa r_t}} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{(\kappa^2 + p^2)^2}. \quad (6)$$

The corresponding contribution to the cross-section is

$$\sigma_{E1} = \frac{8\pi\alpha}{3} \frac{\kappa p^3}{(1 - \kappa r_t)(\kappa^2 + p^2)^3}. \quad (7)$$

The result of a straightforward calculation with wave function (1) is augmented here with the correction factor $(1 - \kappa r_t)^{-1/2}$ in matrix element (6), and correspondingly, by $(1 - \kappa r_t)^{-1}$ in cross-section (7); here $r_t = 1.76$ fm is the so-called effective interaction radius. The correction is not so small, $1 - \kappa r_t = 0.59$. Its origin is as follows. Matrix element (6) is dominated by large distances, and at these distances the account for the effective radius modifies the asymptotics of the deuteron wave function just in this way [3, 4]. As usual, the cross-section of a $E1$ transition is proportional at the threshold to p^3 .

Meanwhile, the contribution of $M1$ transition into the deuteron photodisintegration cross-section decreases in the threshold region as p^1 only, and therefore dominates very close to the threshold [3, 5]. Let us consider this contribution. Since the orbital angular momentum of the nucleons in the deuteron equals to zero (we neglect here the admixture of D -wave), the magnetic moment operator reduces here to a purely spin one:

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{e}{2m_p} (\mu_p \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p + \mu_n \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n).$$

Here $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n$ are the spin operators of the proton and neutron, respectively, and $\mu_p = 2.79$ and $\mu_n = -1.91$ are their magnetic moments. Due to the same orthogonality of the wave functions of the deuteron and 3S_1 state of positive energy, the $M1$ transition goes into the singlet 1S_0 state of the continuous spectrum. A simple calculation [3, 5] results in

$$\langle {}^1S_0 | (\mu_p \sigma_p + \mu_n \sigma_n)_z | {}^3S_1 \rangle = \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi\kappa} (\mu_p - \mu_n) (1 - \kappa\alpha_s)}{(\kappa^2 + p^2) (1 - ip\alpha_s)}, \quad (8)$$

and correspondingly,

$$\sigma_{M1} = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{3} \frac{\kappa p (\mu_p - \mu_n)^2 (1 - \kappa\alpha_s)^2}{m_p^2 (\kappa^2 + p^2) (1 + p^2\alpha_s^2)}. \quad (9)$$

Though this contribution to the cross-section is enhanced by large numerical factors, $\mu_p - \mu_n = 4.7$ and $1 - \kappa\alpha_s = 6.5$, it dominates only within 0.2 MeV above the threshold.

After this, rather lengthy, Introduction we go over to the main part of the review.

2 The np -scattering amplitude and the deuteron quadrupole moment

Just as the triplet scattering length α_t is related to the asymptotic behaviour of the deuteron 3S_1 wave function at $r \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., to the parameter κ (see (5)), the limiting threshold values of the spin-dependent invariant amplitudes is related to the asymptotic value of the 3D_1 admixture in the deuteron wave function [6].

We start with the standard expression for the np scattering amplitude, valid under the assumptions of P and T invariance, as well as of the charge independence of nuclear forces (see [7]):

$$f(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = a + b(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \mathbf{n})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \mathbf{n}) + c(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) \mathbf{n} + (g + h)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \mathbf{n}_+)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \mathbf{n}_+) + (g - h)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \mathbf{n}_-)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \mathbf{n}_-). \quad (10)$$

Here $\mathbf{p}$ $\mathbf{p}'$ are the nucleon relative momenta in the center-of-mass system, initial and final, respectively; $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2$ are their spin operators;

$$\mathbf{n}_{\pm} = \frac{\mathbf{p} \pm \mathbf{p}'}{|\mathbf{p} \pm \mathbf{p}'|}, \quad \mathbf{n} = \frac{\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{p}'}{|\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{p}'|}.$$

We get rid of the structure $(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \mathbf{n})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \mathbf{n})$ in (10) by means of the obvious relationship $(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \mathbf{n})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \mathbf{n}) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 - (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \mathbf{n}_+)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \mathbf{n}_+) - (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \mathbf{n}_-)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \mathbf{n}_-)$. Then, we use the momenta $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{p}'$ instead of the unit vectors $\mathbf{n}_{\pm}$. And at last, we go over from the operators $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2$ to the total spin operator $\mathbf{S} = 1/2(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2)$ since we are interested in the triplet scattering. As a result, the triplet np scattering amplitude becomes

$$f(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = -\alpha_t + \frac{1}{m_p^2} \left\{ c' \mathbf{S} [\mathbf{p}' \times \mathbf{p}] + g_1 (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}))^2 + g_2 (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p}))^2 \right\}, \quad (11)$$

Here the triplet scattering length is related to the parameters of formula (10) as follows: $\alpha_t = -(a + b)$; the constants

$$c' = \frac{2cm_p^2}{p^2 \sin \theta}, \quad g_1 = \frac{(g - b + h)m_p^2}{2p^2 \cos^2(\theta/2)}, \quad g_2 = \frac{(g - b - h)m_p^2}{2p^2 \sin^2(\theta/2)},$$

as well as α_t , are independent of the scattering angle θ . Expression (11) is in fact an expansion of the scattering amplitude in momenta, where one retains in line with α_t only those higher order terms which depend on the spin S .

We will go over now to the construction of the deuteron wave function at large r , which includes the D wave admixture. To this end, we find at first the effective δ -function potential (pseudopotential) which reproduces in the Born approximation the scattering amplitude (11)(see [8]). With the correspondence

$$p'_i p'_j \rightarrow -\nabla_i \nabla_j \delta(\mathbf{r}), \quad p'_i p_j \rightarrow -\nabla_i \delta(\mathbf{r}) \nabla_j, \quad p_i p_j \rightarrow -\delta(\mathbf{r}) \nabla_i \nabla_j,$$

we obtain

$$U(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{4\pi}{m_p} \left\{ \alpha_t \delta(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{c'}{m_p^2} \varepsilon_{ijk} S_i \nabla_j \delta(\mathbf{r}) \nabla_k + \frac{1}{2m_p^2} S_{ij} [(g_1 + g_2) (\nabla_i \nabla_j \delta(\mathbf{r}) + \delta(\mathbf{r}) \nabla_i \nabla_j) \right. \\ \left. + (g_1 - g_2) (\nabla_i \delta(\mathbf{r}) \nabla_j + \nabla_j \delta(\mathbf{r}) \nabla_i)] \right\}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$S_{ij} = S_i S_j + S_j S_i - \frac{4}{3} \delta_{ij}.$$

In the wave function of the scattering problem the outgoing spherical wave can be presented as

$$- \frac{m_p}{4\pi} \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{e^{ip|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} U(\mathbf{r}') \Psi_0(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (13)$$

We assume that the nonperturbed solution Ψ_0 is an S wave one. Then expression (13) reduces to

$$\left(\alpha_t + \frac{g_1 + g_2}{2m_p^2} S_{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j \right) \frac{e^{ipr}}{r}. \quad (14)$$

By the analytical continuation of wave function (14) to the point $p = i\kappa$, which corresponds to the bound state, we arrive at the following expression for the deuteron wave function at large distances:

$$\Psi_d = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}} \left(1 + \frac{g_1 + g_2}{2\alpha_t m_p^2} S_{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j \right) \frac{e^{-\kappa r}}{r}. \quad (15)$$

The expectation value of the quadrupole moment tensor in this state is

$$Q_{ij} = \frac{1}{4} \langle 3r_i r_j - r^2 \delta_{ij} \rangle = \frac{g_1 + g_2}{\alpha_t m_p^2} S_{ij}. \quad (16)$$

Now the deuteron quadrupole moment is

$$Q = Q_{zz}|_{S_z=1} = \frac{g_1 + g_2}{3\alpha_t m_p^2}. \quad (17)$$

Let us note that, since the principal contribution to Q is given by $r \sim 1/\kappa$, it does not make sense to introduce the correction factors $(1 - \kappa r_t)^{-1/2}$ and $(1 - \kappa r_t)^{-1}$ into expressions (15) (16), respectively.

The analysis [6, 9] of the experimental data on the elastic dp scattering and on the stripping reaction results in

$$g_1 + g_2 = 67 \text{ fm}. \quad (18)$$

The corresponding value of the deuteron quadrupole moment is

$$Q_1 = 0.18 \text{ fm}^2. \quad (19)$$

Somewhat larger values follow from the phase analysis [10] of the np scattering:

$$Q_1 = (0.20 - 0.24) \text{ fm}^2. \quad (20)$$

At least the last value, (20), is not so far from the result of the direct experimental measurement of the deuteron quadrupole moment,

$$Q = 0.286 \text{ fm}^2. \quad (21)$$

Of course, the accuracy of the direct experimental result (21) for the deuteron quadrupole moment is much higher than the accuracy both of the approximations made for the derivation of formula (17) and of the phase analysis of the mentioned elastic processes and the stripping reaction. Therefore, the relations obtained, besides their theoretical interest, may be useful mainly for checks of phenomenological descriptions of these reactions.

3 Circular polarization of γ -quanta in the reaction $np \rightarrow d\gamma$ with polarized neutrons

Just as the photodisintegration of the deuteron at the threshold goes into the 1S_0 wave of continuous spectrum, the radiative capture of thermal neutrons $np \rightarrow d\gamma$ proceeds from the

same 1S_0 state via an $M1$ transition. Naturally, in this approximation, when the initial 1S_0 state is completely spherically-symmetric, the polarization of an initial particle cannot be transferred to a final particle. However, due to the D wave admixture in the deuteron wave function and in the incoming wave, the $M1$ transition proceeds also from the triplet initial state. Besides, the corrections to the $M1$ operator responsible for the nonadditivity of nucleon magnetic moments in the deuteron also allow for a magnetic dipole transition from the triplet initial state. And at last, due to the D wave admixture an $E2$ transition becomes possible as well. All these effects are fairly small, but their investigation gives us an information on some subtle details of the np interactions at low energies.

The circular polarization of the γ -quanta emitted in the radiative capture of polarized thermal neutrons by unpolarized protons $np \rightarrow d\gamma$ was for the first time measured in [11]. This result,

$$P_\gamma = -(2.90 \pm 0.87) \times 10^{-3},$$

was improved essentially in the next work of the same group [12]:

$$P_\gamma = -(1.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3}. \quad (22)$$

Theoretically the problem has been considered in articles [13-18]. In the present review we essentially follow works [13, 16].

We start with the magnetic moment operator

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{e}{2m_p} (\mathbf{l}_p + \mu_p \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p + \mu_n \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n).$$

The proton orbital angular momentum $\mathbf{l}_p$ is obviously related to the total orbital angular momentum $\mathbf{L}$: $\mathbf{l}_p = 1/2 \mathbf{L}$. The linear combination of $\mathbf{L}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{p,n}$ can be presented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{L} + \mu_p \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p + \mu_n \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{L} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\mu_p - \mu_n) (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mu_p + \mu_n - \frac{1}{2} \right) (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The first term in the second line is nothing but one half of the total angular momentum $\mathbf{I}$ which, being an integral of motion, cannot cause any transition. The second term is responsible for the common $M1$ transition from the 1S_0 state of the continuous spectrum, i.e., for the process inverse to the deuteron photodisintegration at the threshold. The effective operator of this, principal $M1$ transition, or the coordinate matrix element of the second term, is

$$\hat{\mathbf{M}}_0 = \frac{e}{2m_p} \frac{1}{2} (\mu_p - \mu_n) \sqrt{2\pi\kappa} \frac{1 - \kappa\alpha_s}{\kappa^2} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n). \quad (24)$$

As to the last term in the second line of (23), its matrix element is distinct from zero first of all due to the 3D_1 admixture to the wave functions of the deuteron and the 3S_1 state of the continuous spectrum, ψ_d and ψ_{St} , respectively. For calculating this matrix element we use the orthogonality condition for the complete radial wave functions of the both states:

$$\int_0^\infty dr r^2 (R_{d0} R_{t0} + R_{d2} R_{t2}) = 0. \quad (25)$$

Here we mark by the second subscripts, 0 and 2, the radial functions of the S and D components of the initial and final states. With the account for (25) the discussed matrix element is found easily:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\mu_p + \mu_n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \langle \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n \rangle = -\frac{3}{2} \left(\mu_p + \mu_n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \mathbf{I} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 R_{d2} R_{t2} = 0. \quad (26)$$

The last radial integral is dominated by distances smaller than the range of nuclear forces. It is natural to assume [13] that at these distances R_{d2} and R_{t2} differ in normalization factors only, i.e., that

$$R_{t2} = -\alpha_t \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}} R_{d2}.$$

Then matrix element (26) reduces to

$$\frac{3}{2} \left(\mu_p + \mu_n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \alpha_t P_d \mathbf{I}, \quad (27)$$

where P_d is the D wave weight in the deuteron.

Let us recall now the known expression for the deuteron magnetic moment μ_d :

$$\mu_d = \mu_p + \mu_n - \frac{3}{2} \left(\mu_p + \mu_n - \frac{1}{2} \right) P_d + \Delta\mu \quad (28)$$

(numerically, $\mu_d = 0.8574$). In line with the correction for the D wave admixture (the term with P_d), it includes the contribution of relativistic corrections $\Delta\mu$. Naturally, the matrix elements of corresponding operators are also dominated by short distances. Let us assume again that at these distances the wave function of the 3S_1 state of continuous spectrum differs from the deuteron one in the overall factor only. Then the coordinate matrix element of the corresponding operator of the triplet-triplet transition is

$$-\alpha_t \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}} \Delta\mu \mathbf{I}.$$

Arising in this way total effective operator of the $M1$ triplet-triplet transition is

$$\hat{\mathbf{M}} = \frac{e}{2m_p} \left[\frac{3}{2} \left(\mu_p + \mu_n - \frac{1}{2} \right) P_d - \Delta\mu \right] \alpha_t \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}} \mathbf{I}, \quad (29)$$

or, in virtue of (28),

$$\hat{\mathbf{M}} = \frac{e}{2m_p} (\mu_p + \mu_n - \mu_d) \alpha_t \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}} \mathbf{I}. \quad (30)$$

A standard calculation, taking into account expression (24) for the principal transition, leads to the following result for the $M1$ contribution to the degree of circular polarization:

$$P_\gamma(M1) = -\frac{\mu_p + \mu_n - \mu_d}{\mu_p - \mu_n} \frac{\kappa \alpha_t}{1 - \kappa \alpha_s} = -0.92 \times 10^{-3}. \quad (31)$$

One more contribution to the degree of circular polarization of photons is due to the quadrupole transition. The $E2$ operator equals here

$$V = -\frac{1}{8} e r_m r_n \partial_m E_n$$

(let us recall the relation $\mathbf{r}_p = \mathbf{r}/2$ between the proton coordinate $\mathbf{r}_p$ and the argument $\mathbf{r}$ of the wave function). Quite standard calculation with wave functions (14) and (15) leads to the following result for the quadrupole contribution to the circular polarization:

$$P_\gamma(E2) = -\frac{2}{15} \frac{\kappa^3 (g_1 + g_2)}{m_p^2 (1 - \kappa \alpha_s) (\mu_p - \mu_n)} = -\frac{2}{5} \frac{\alpha_t \kappa^3 Q}{(1 - \kappa \alpha_s) (\mu_p - \mu_n)} = -0.25 \times 10^{-3}. \quad (32)$$

Our final result

$$P_\gamma = P_\gamma(M1) + P_\gamma(E2) = -1.2 \times 10^{-3} \quad (33)$$

and the experimental result (22) are in a reasonable agreement. There is also a good agreement between (33) and the final results of recent calculations [17, 18]), though for $P_\gamma(M1)$ and $P_\gamma(E2)$, taken separately, our and their results differ considerably.

4 P- and T-odd electromagnetic moments of deuteron

4.1 Generalities on anapole moments

A notion of anapole moment (AM) was introduced by V.G. Vaks (who was then a graduate student of A.B. Migdal) and Ya.B. Zel'dovich [19]. AM is a special electromagnetic characteristic of a system where parity is not conserved.

The peculiarity of AM is in particular that the interaction of a charged probe particle with an anapole moment is of a contact nature (for a more detailed discussion see, for instance, [20]). Therefore, for example, the interaction of the electron with the nucleon AM, being on the order of αG (G is the Fermi weak interaction constant), cannot be distinguished in general case from other radiative corrections to the weak electron-nucleon interaction. And in a gauge theory of electroweak interactions only the total scattering amplitude, i.e., the sum of all diagrams on the order of αG , is gauge-invariant, independent of the gauge choice for the Green's functions of heavy vector bosons. Thus, generally speaking, the AM of an elementary particle or a nucleus is not gauge-invariant notion, and therefore has no direct physical meaning. However, there are special cases where the anapole moment has a real physical meaning. In heavy nuclei the AM is enhanced $\sim A^{2/3}$ [21] (A is the atomic number), as distinct from common radiative corrections². In fact, the nuclear AM of ^{133}Cs was discovered and measured with good accuracy in an atomic experiment [22]. The result of this experiment is in a reasonable quantitative agreement with the theoretical predictions, starting with [21, 23].

There is one more object, the deuteron, whose anapole moment could make sense for a sufficiently large P odd πNN constant [23]. The problem of the deuteron AM was considered phenomenologically in [1, 23-25]. Recently the deuteron AM induced by the P-odd pion exchange was calculated in [26] (see also [27]).

4.2 Nucleon anapole moment

It is convenient to start the discussion with the nucleon AM in the limit $m_\pi \rightarrow 0$. It was calculated in 1980 by A.I. Vainshtein and the author. The result is the same for the proton and neutron:

$$\mathbf{a}_p = \mathbf{a}_n = -\frac{eg\bar{g}}{12m_p m_\pi} \left(1 - \frac{6}{\pi} \frac{m_\pi}{m_p} \ln \frac{m_p}{m_\pi}\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}; \quad (34)$$

we assume $e > 0$. Being the only contribution to the nucleon AM, which is singular in m_π , the result (34) is gauge-invariant. In this respect, it has a physical meaning.

Unfortunately, despite the singularity in m_π , the corresponding contribution to the electron-nucleon scattering amplitude is small numerically as compared to other radiative corrections

²It means, by the way, that there is an intrinsic limit for the relative accuracy, $\sim A^{2/3}$, with which the AM of a heavy nucleus can be defined at all. In the case of ^{133}Cs , which is of the experimental interest, this limiting accuracy is about 4%.

to the weak scattering amplitude. Indeed, the radiative corrections to the effective constants $C_{2p,n}$ of the proton and neutron axial neutral-current operators $G/\sqrt{2}C_{2p,n}\sigma_{p,n}$ are [28]

$$C_{2p}^r = -0.032 \pm 0.030, \quad C_{2n}^r = 0.018 \pm 0.030. \quad (35)$$

In the same units $G/\sqrt{2}$, the effective axial constants induced by the electromagnetic interaction with the proton and neutron anapole moments (34), is

$$C_{p,n}^a = -\alpha a_N (|e|G/\sqrt{2})^{-1} = 0.07 \times 10^5 \bar{g}.$$

At the “best value” $\bar{g} = 3.3 \times 10^{-7}$ (strongly supported by the experimental result for the ^{133}Cs anapole moment) we obtain

$$C_{p,n}^a = 0.002. \quad (36)$$

With this value being much less than both central points and error bars in (35), the notion of the nucleon AM practically has no physical meaning. This is why the result (34) was never published by the authors. It is quoted in book [20] (without the logarithmic term) just as a theoretical curiosity. This result was obtained also in [29], the logarithmic term in the nucleon AM is discussed in [30].

Let us note, that as pointed out in [31], a P-odd $\pi\pi\text{NN}$ interaction also generates a contribution $\sim \ln m_\pi$ to the nucleon AM. Only purely theoretical estimates are known for the constants of this P-odd $\pi\pi\text{NN}$ interaction. According to the estimates considered by the authors of [31] as relatively reliable, the contribution of the P-odd $\pi\pi\text{NN}$ interaction to the nucleon AM is about an order of magnitude smaller than (34).

However, the situation with the deuteron AM is quite different. Not only the proton and neutron AMs add up here. The isoscalar part of the radiative corrections is much smaller than the individual contributions C_{2p}^r and C_{2n}^r , and is calculated with much better accuracy [28]:

$$C_{2d}^r = C_{2p}^r + C_{2n}^r = 0.014 \pm 0.0030. \quad (37)$$

Moreover, there are new large contributions $\sim m_\pi^{-1}$ to the deuteron AM, induced by the P-odd π -meson exchange. We go over now to their consideration.

4.3 P-odd π -meson exchange

The Lagrangians of the strong πNN interaction and of the weak P-odd one, L_s and L_w , respectively, are well-known:

$$L_s = g [\sqrt{2}(\bar{p}i\gamma_5 n \pi^+ + \bar{n}i\gamma_5 p \pi^-) + (\bar{p}i\gamma_5 p - \bar{n}i\gamma_5 n) \pi^0]; \quad (38)$$

$$L_w = \bar{g} \sqrt{2} i (\bar{p}n \pi^+ - \bar{n}p \pi^-). \quad (39)$$

Our convention for γ_5 is

$$\gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (40)$$

the relation between our P-odd πNN constant $\bar{g}$ and the commonly used one $h_{\pi\text{NN}}^{(1)}$ is $\bar{g}\sqrt{2} = h_{\pi\text{NN}}^{(1)}$. Our sign convention for the coupling constants is standard: $g = 13.45$, and $\bar{g} > 0$ for the range of values discussed in [32].

The resulting effective nonrelativistic Hamiltonian of the P-odd nucleon-nucleon interaction in the deuteron due to the pion exchange is in the momentum representation

$$V(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{2g\bar{g}}{m_p} \frac{(\mathbf{I}\mathbf{q})}{m_\pi^2 + \mathbf{q}^2} (N_1^\dagger \tau_{1-} N_1) (N_2^\dagger \tau_{2+} N_2). \quad (41)$$

Here $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p}'_1 - \mathbf{p}_1 = -(\mathbf{p}'_2 - \mathbf{p}_2) = \mathbf{p}'_n - \mathbf{p}_p = -(\mathbf{p}'_p - \mathbf{p}_n)$. This P-odd interaction conserves the total spin

$$\mathbf{I} = \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n)$$

(and does not conserve the isotopic spin). Thus in our problem it mixes the 3P_1 state of the continuous spectrum and the deuteron ground state 3S_1 . Let us note that the P-odd interaction (41) which interchanges the proton and neutron, when applied to the initial state $a_p^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_1)a_n^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_2)|0\rangle$ transforms it into $a_n^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_1)a_p^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_2)|0\rangle = -a_p^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_2)a_n^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_1)|0\rangle$. On the other hand, the coordinate wave function of the admixed 3P_1 state is proportional to the relative coordinate $\mathbf{r}$, which we define as $\mathbf{r}_p - \mathbf{r}_n$. Therefore, it also changes sign under the permutation $p \leftrightarrow n$. Thus, for the deuteron the P-odd potential can be written in the coordinate representation as a simple function of $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_p - \mathbf{r}_n$ without any indication of the isotopic variables:

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{g\bar{g}}{2\pi m_p} (-i\mathbf{I} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \frac{\exp(-m_\pi r)}{r}. \quad (42)$$

The corresponding imaginary mixing matrix elements are related as follows:

$$\langle ^3P_1 | V | ^3S_1 \rangle = -\langle ^3S_1 | V | ^3P_1 \rangle,$$

the overall sign in (42) corresponds to $\langle ^3P_1 | V | ^3S_1 \rangle$.

The weak interaction (42) generates a contact current $\mathbf{j}^c$. To obtain an explicit expression for it, we have to consider the P-odd interaction V in the presence of the electromagnetic field. Its including modifies the proton momentum: $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A}$, which results in the shift $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q} + e\mathbf{A}$ in interaction (41). Then in the momentum representation the contact current is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{j}^c(\mathbf{q}) &= -\frac{\partial V(\mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{A}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{A}} \frac{2g\bar{g}}{m_p} \frac{\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{q} + e\mathbf{A})}{m_\pi^2 + (\mathbf{q} + e\mathbf{A})^2} \\ &= -\frac{2eg\bar{g}}{m_p} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{I}}{m_\pi^2 + \mathbf{q}^2} - \frac{2\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{I}\mathbf{q})}{(m_\pi^2 + \mathbf{q}^2)^2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

In the last expression we have neglected the dependence on $\mathbf{A}$. In the coordinate representation the contact current is

$$\mathbf{j}^c(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{eg\bar{g}}{2\pi m_p} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{I}\boldsymbol{\nabla}) \frac{e^{-m_\pi r}}{r}. \quad (44)$$

4.4 Calculation of the deuteron anapole moment

Let us discuss at first a general structure of the deuteron AM generated by a P-odd np interaction, which conserves the total spin, assuming only that the deuteron is a pure 3S_1 state bound by a spherically symmetric potential. We follow here essentially the arguments applied in [23] (see also book [20]) to the problem of a single proton in a spherically symmetric potential. In this case of a single proton the AM operator is [23]

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{\pi e}{m_p} \left\{ \mu_p \mathbf{r} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \frac{i}{3} [\mathbf{l}^2, \mathbf{r}] \right\} + \frac{2\pi}{3} \mathbf{r} \times [\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{j}^c]. \quad (45)$$

In the case of the deuteron this formula generalizes to

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}}_d = \frac{\pi e}{2m_p} \left\{ \mathbf{r} \times (\mu_p \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p - \mu_n \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n) - \frac{i}{6} [\mathbf{l}^2, \mathbf{r}] \right\} + \frac{\pi}{6} \mathbf{r} \times [\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{j}^c]. \quad (46)$$

Both operators (45) and (46) are orthogonal to $\mathbf{r}$ (neither of them commutes with $\mathbf{r}$, so the orthogonality means here that $\hat{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}\hat{\mathbf{a}} = 0$). Therefore the contact current (44), generated by the P-odd pion exchange and directed along $\mathbf{r}$, does not contribute to the nuclear AM.

Let us present the wave function of the deuteron 3S_1 state as $\psi_0(r)\chi_t$. If the weak interaction conserves the total spin $\mathbf{I}$, the P-odd admixture 3P_1 can be written as $\delta\psi_1(\mathbf{r}) = i(\mathbf{I}\mathbf{r}/r)\psi_1(r)\chi_t$ (both radial wave functions, $\psi_0(r)$ and $\psi_1(r)$, are spherically symmetric). Simple calculations demonstrate that the expectation value of operator (46) in the state with wave function $[\psi_0(r) + i(\mathbf{I}\mathbf{r}/r)\psi_1(r)]\chi_t$ is (in the absence of a contact current contribution)

$$\mathbf{a}_d = \frac{\pi e}{3m_p} \left(\mu_p - \mu_n - \frac{1}{3} \right) \mathbf{I} \int d\mathbf{r} \psi_0(r) r \psi_1(r). \quad (47)$$

So, under the assumptions made, the deuteron AM should depend on the universal combination $\mu_p - \mu_n - 1/3$.

The real calculations we perform in the zero-range approximation where $\psi_0(r) = \psi_d(r)$ (see (1)), and the wave functions of P states are free. Moreover, when using the stationary perturbation theory, we can choose plane waves as intermediate states we are summing over, since perturbation $V(\mathbf{r})$ (see (42)) will select by itself P states from plane waves. The correction to the wave function is

$$\delta\psi_1(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}}}{-\varepsilon - k^2/m_p} \int d\mathbf{r}' e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}'} V(\mathbf{r}') \psi_0(r'). \quad (48)$$

Rather lengthy calculation leads to the following expression for the matrix element of the radius-vector:

$$\int d\mathbf{r} \psi_d(r) \mathbf{r} \delta\psi_1(\mathbf{r}) = -i \frac{eg\bar{g}\mathbf{I}}{6\pi m_\pi} \frac{1 + \xi}{(1 + 2\xi)^2}, \quad (49)$$

where $\xi = \kappa/m_\pi = 0.32$. With this matrix element and operator (46) one obtains easily the following expression for the deuteron AM:

$$\mathbf{a}_d^{(0)} = - \frac{eg\bar{g}}{6m_p m_\pi} \frac{1 + \xi}{(1 + 2\xi)^2} \left(\mu_p - \mu_n - \frac{1}{3} \right) \mathbf{I}, \quad (50)$$

in accordance with the general formula (47).

In fact, the range $1/m_\pi$ of the P-odd interaction (42) is quite comparable to the range of the usual nuclear forces. Therefore, it is rather dangerous to use here blindly the naïve wave function (1). Still, numerical calculations with a model wave function, which has more realistic properties, lead to the result which differs from (50) no more than by 20%. As to other sources of P-violation, different from the pion exchange, it can be demonstrated that their contribution to the deuteron AM does not exceed 5% of (50) (at the “best value” $\bar{g}$).

It looks reasonable to combine the potential contribution (50) with the sum of the proton and neutron anapole moments (34). In this way we arrive at the final result for the deuteron AM:

$$\mathbf{a}_d = - \frac{eg\bar{g}}{6m_p m_\pi} [0.49(\mu_p - \mu_n - 1/3) + 0.46] \mathbf{I} = -2.60 \frac{eg\bar{g}}{6m_p m_\pi} \mathbf{I}. \quad (51)$$

This result includes all contributions to the P-odd amplitude of ed -scattering, which are singular in m_π , and thus is gauge-invariant, physically sensible.

Now let us compare the contribution of the found AM (51) with the P-odd ed -scattering amplitude due to usual radiative corrections, which are nonsingular in m_π . At the “best value” $\bar{g} = 3.3 \times 10^{-7}$ and the estimate of 20% for the accuracy we obtain

$$C_{2d}^a = 0.014 \pm 0.003. \quad (52)$$

This number is quite comparable with the contribution (37) of usual radiative correction. Taken together, they constitute

$$C_{2d} = C_{2d}^r + C_{2d}^a = 0.028 \pm 0.005. \quad (53)$$

One more contribution to C_{2d} is due to the admixture of strange quarks in nucleons [33]. The magnitude of this contribution is both extremely interesting and highly uncertain.

To measure experimentally the constant C_{2d} is very difficult. However, with such a good accuracy of the theoretical prediction (53), this experiment would be a source of valuable information on the P-odd π NN constant and on the strange quark admixture in nucleons.

4.5 P-odd, T-odd electromagnetic moments of the deuteron

P-odd, T-odd multipoles of the deuteron, electric dipole and magnetic quadrupole, were previously considered phenomenologically in [34]. Then in [26] these multipoles have been calculated in the same approach as the anapole moment.

Three independent P-odd, T-odd effective π NN Lagrangians are conveniently classified by their isotopic properties:

$$\Delta T = 0. \quad L_0 = g_0 [\sqrt{2} (\bar{p}n \pi^+ + \bar{n}p \pi^-) + (\bar{p}p - \bar{n}n) \pi^0]; \quad (54)$$

$$|\Delta T| = 1. \quad L_1 = g_1 \bar{N}N \pi^0 = g_1 (\bar{p}p + \bar{n}n) \pi^0; \quad (55)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta T| = 2. \quad L_2 &= g_2 (\bar{N} \boldsymbol{\tau} N \boldsymbol{\pi} - 3 \bar{N} \tau^3 N \pi^0) \\ &= g_2 [\sqrt{2} (\bar{p}n \pi^+ \bar{n}p \pi^-) - 2 (\bar{p}p - \bar{n}n) \pi^0]. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Since the possible values of the isotopic spin for two nucleons is $T = 0, 1$ only, the last interaction, with $|\Delta T| = 2$, is not operative in our approach.

The effective P-odd, T-odd np interaction is derived in the same way as (42). In the momentum representation it looks as follows:

$$W(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{g}{8\pi m_p} [(3g_0 - g_1) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p - (3g_0 + g_1) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n] \boldsymbol{\nabla} \frac{e^{-m_\pi r}}{r}. \quad (57)$$

The calculation of the deuteron electric dipole moment $\mathbf{d}_d$, i.e., of the $e\mathbf{r}_p = e\mathbf{r}/2$ expectation value, is performed analogously to that of the AM. The result is

$$\mathbf{d} = -\frac{egg_1}{12\pi m_\pi} \frac{1 + \xi}{(1 + 2\xi)^2} \mathbf{I}. \quad (58)$$

The magnetic quadrupole moment (MQM) operator is expressed through the current density $\mathbf{j}$ as follows (see, e.g., [20, 35]):

$$M_{mn} = (r_m \varepsilon_{nrs} + r_n \varepsilon_{mrs}) r_r j_s. \quad (59)$$

This expression transforms to

$$M_{mn} = \frac{e}{2m} \left\{ 3\mu \left[r_m \sigma_n + r_n \sigma_m - \frac{2}{3} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{r}) \right] + 2q(r_m l_n + r_n l_m) \right\}. \quad (60)$$

Here μ is the total magnetic moment of the particle, q is its charge in the units of e . The magnetic quadrupole moment is the expectation value $\mathcal{M}$ of the operator M_{zz} in the state with the maximum spin projection $I_z = I$.

In our case, due to the spherical symmetry of the deuteron nonperturbed wave function, the orbital contribution to M_{mn} vanishes. The contact current here also is directed along $\mathbf{r}$ and therefore does not contribute to MQM. Thus the deuteron MQM arises due to the spin term in (60). It equals

$$\mathcal{M} = - \frac{eg}{12\pi m_p m_\pi} \frac{1 + \xi}{(1 + 2\xi)^2} [(3g_0 + g_1) \mu_p + (3g_0 - g_1) \mu_n]. \quad (61)$$

Let us note in conclusion that the possibility to search for the deuteron dipole moment at a storage ring with polarized nuclei is discussed at present by experimentalists rather seriously.

I am grateful to A.V. Blinov, A.P. Burichenko, L.A. Kondratyuk, and R.V. Korkin, the review is based on the results obtained together with them. The work was supported by the Grant for Leading Scientific Schools No. 00 15 96811; by the Ministry of Education through Grant No. 3N-224-98; by the Federal Program Integration-1998 through Project No. 274.

References

- [1] A.I. Mikhailov, A.N. Moskalev, R.M. Ryndin, and G.V. Frolov, *Yad.Fiz.* **35**, 887 (1982) [*Sov.Nucl.Phys.* **35**, (1982)].
- [2] H. Bethe and R. Peierls, *Proc.Roy.Soc.* **A148**, 570 (1935).
- [3] V.B. Berestetskii, E.M. Lifshitz, and L.P. Pitaevskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (Pergamon Press, 1994).
- [4] J.L. Friar and S. Fallieros, *Phys.Rev.* **C 29**, 232 (1984).
- [5] E. Fermi, *Phys.Rev.* **48**, 570 (1935).
- [6] A.V. Blinov, L.A. Kondratyuk, and I.B. Khriplovich, *Yad.Fiz.* **47**, 604 (1988) [*Sov.Nucl.Phys.* **47**, (1988)].
- [7] L. Wolfenstein and J. Ashkin, *Phys.Rev.* **85**, 947 (1952).
- [8] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics* (Pergamon Press, 1994).
- [9] T.E.O. Ericson and M. Rosa-Clot, *Nucl.Phys.* **A405**, 493 (1984).
- [10] R.A. Arndt *et al*, *Phys.Rev.* **D28**, 97 (1983).
- [11] V.A. Vesna *et al*, *Nucl.Phys.* **A352**, 181 (1981).
- [12] A.N. Bazhenov *et al*, *Phys.Lett.* **B289**, 17 (1992).
- [13] G.S. Danilov, *Proc. XI Winter School, Leningrad Institute of Nuclear Physics*, vol. 1, p. 203 (1976).
- [14] I.L. Grach and M.Zh. Shmatikov, *Yad.Fiz.* **40**, 440 (1984) [*Sov.Nucl.Phys.* **40**, 280 (1984)].
- [15] I.L. Grach and M.Zh. Shmatikov, *Yad.Fiz.* **45**, 933 (1987) [*Sov.Nucl.Phys.* **45**, 579 (1987)].

- [16] A.P. Burichenko and I.B. Khriplovich, Nucl.Phys. **A515**, 139 (1990).
- [17] J.-W. Chen, G. Rupak, and M.J. Savage, Phys.Lett. **B464**, 1 (1999);
e-Print Archive: nucl-th/9905002
- [18] T.S Park, K. Kubodera, D.P. Min, M. Rho, Phys.Lett. **B472**, 232 (2000);
e-Print Archive: nucl-th/9906005
- [19] Ya.B. Zel'dovich, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **33**, 1531 (1957)
[Sov.Phys.JETP **6**, 1184 (1957)] (This paper contains also the mention of the analogous
results obtained by V.G. Vaks).
- [20] I.B. Khriplovich, *Parity Nonconservation in Atomic Phenomena* (Gordon and Breach,
London, 1991) [The Russian Edition: Nauka, Moscow, 1988].
- [21] V.V. Flambaum, I.B. Khriplovich and O.P. Sushkov, Phys.Lett. **B 146**, 367 (1984).
- [22] C.S. Woods *et al*, Science **275**, 1759 (1997)
- [23] V.V. Flambaum and I.B. Khriplovich, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **79**, 1656 (1980)
[Sov.Phys.JETP **52**, 835 (1980)].
- [24] E.M. Henley and W.-Y.P. Hwang, Phys.Rev. **23 C**, 1001 (1981).
- [25] B. Desplanques, in *Contributions to the 9th International Conference on High Energy
Physics and Nuclear Structure* (Paris, 1981).
- [26] I.B. Khriplovich, R.V. Korkin, Nucl.Phys. **A665**, 365 (2000); e-Print Archive: nucl-
th/9904081.
- [27] M.J. Savage and R.P. Springer, Nucl.Phys. **A644**, 235 (1998); Erratum, *ibid.* **A657**, 457
(1999); E-print archive nucl-th/9807014.
- [28] W.J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, Phys.Rev. **29 D**, 75 (1984).
- [29] M.J. Musolf and B.R. Holstein, Phys.Rev. **D43**, 2956 (1991).
- [30] W.C. Haxton, E.M. Henley, and M.J. Musolf, Phys.Rev.Lett. **63**, 949 (1989).
- [31] D.B. Kaplan and M.J. Savage, Nucl.Phys. **A556**, 653 (1993).
- [32] B. Desplanques, J.F. Donoghue and B.R. Holstein, Ann.Phys.(N.Y.) **124**, 449 (1980).
- [33] B.A. Campbell, J.Ellis, and R.A. Flores, Phys. Lett. **B225**, 419 (1989).
- [34] O.P. Sushkov, V.V. Flambaum, and I.B. Khriplovich, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **87**, 1521 (1984)
[Sov.Phys.JETP **60**, 873 (1984)].
- [35] S.K. Lamoreaux I.B. Khriplovich, *CP Violation Without Strangeness* (Springer, Berlin,
1997).